

Evaluation Rubric for FGPA

Reviewer: _____

Narrative sections	Key Components	Possible points	Points awarded
Introduction	Project Director identified; roles, responsibilities, time commitments of team members defined;	5	
Need	Needs identified; long-term benefits described; need for first-hand overseas experience supported; data and research cited; appendices includes bibliography	10	
Objectives	Specific; measurable; related to all project phases; timeline included; responsible team members named	15	
Project Design	Adequately describes all four phases; appendices includes syllabi	10	
Management	Clearly defines who is responsible for what; linked to all four project phases; linked to evaluation; appendices includes vitae	10	
Cost Effectiveness	Clearly describes how costs are related to project objectives; appendices include line-item budget and justification	10	
Evaluation Plan	Clearly describes methods, data to be collected and analyzed; objective; quantifiable; linked to project objectives; includes completed logic model	20	
Adequacy of Resources	Describes facilities, supplies, resources at UT Martin and in host country; appendices include letters of support from Deans, Dept. Chairs	5	
Potential Impact	Addresses curricular impact(s), multiplier effect(s), participants, students, colleagues, community	10	
Relevance to UT Martin's Educational Goals	Connections to UT Martin's educational and institutional goals; curricular connections	5	
Totals		100	

Proposal strengths:

Proposal weaknesses:

Reviewer recommendations: